

C O P Y

October 19, 1950

Mr. Roger W. Jones
Assistant Director
Legislative Reference
Bureau of the Budget
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Jones:

In your letter of 17 October 1950 you request comments of this Agency with respect to a proposed Executive Order entitled "Authorizing the Civil Service Commission to Adopt Special Procedures in Connection with National Defense Expansion". We have studied the proposed Executive Order and the letter of October 3 from the Civil Service Commission and find that certain problems are raised which possibly are peculiar to this Agency.

Of particular concern is the statement in the Commissioner's letter that the emergency employees will, for the most part, be covered by the Social Security System. This will pose a serious security problem to this Agency which does not arise in connection with the Retirement System. Under normal circumstances, this and other problems might be worked out under the wording of the proposed Executive Order, but the appointments about which we are concerned would be those most highly classified and which we would be reluctant to discuss in detail outside the Agency.

We feel, therefore, that we could approach the Civil Service Commission on a more practical basis if the Commission could deal with circumstances rather than with individual cases. We feel this viewpoint may have been intended by the Commission in view of paragraph 2, on page 2, of their letter which states that the Commission has authority "in unusual circumstances to permit permanent appointments to highly specialized positions."

We recommend, therefore, that Section 1 of the proposed Executive Order be amended to strike out after the words

ER 1-3145

"post offices", in line 6 of that paragraph, the phrase "and appointments to highly specialized" and insert in place thereof the phrase "and, in unusual circumstances,". With this wording we believe there would be no difficulty in achieving a satisfactory resolution of our problem with the Civil Service Commission.

Very truly yours,

/signed/


Acting Executive

STATINTL

LRHouston/ml
(Legal Staff)

cc: Orig & 1 cc: Addressee
cc: Central Records
cc: Signer's copy
cc: Subject (Legal)
cc: Chrono (Legal)
cc: Personnel Director
Add: cc: Chief, Admin. Staff
cc: Acting Chief, Pers. Div. ✓

*San Ball
 Kelly
 this on 10/10/52*

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
 OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO		INITIALS	DATE
1	CHIEF, ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF		
2	<i>201 North Bell</i> PERSONNEL DIRECTOR		
3	EXECUTIVE		
4			
5			
FROM		INITIALS	DATE
1	CHIEF, PERSONNEL DIVISION ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF	<i>PK</i>	<i>10/6/52</i>
2			
3			

<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE

STATINTL
 REMARKS:

*A week or so ago I asked
 [redacted] Gen P, for an informal
 opinion on the Whitten report I
 was told that it did not affect us.
 Do you recommend a formal request
 for a notice to all employees. *PK**

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP
STATINTL

TO	INITIALS	DATE
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

FROM	INITIALS	DATE
1	<i>Q.D. 5 Oct.</i>	
2		
3		

<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARATION OF REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE

REMARKS: *Per our discussion - do you want this copy to send to Legal Staff for opinion.*